## United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington Magistrate Judge Alexander C. Ekstrom Yakima

## USA v. ANGELA MADRIGAL Case No. 4:22-CR-6041-MKD-3 CHAVEZ

Richland Video Conference (ACE and USA @ Yakima; Counsel and Defendant @ Richland)

The Defendant agreed to appear via video conference.

Arraignment/Initial Appearance on Indictment: 12/12/2022			
$\boxtimes$	Tonia Ramirez, Courtroom Deputy [Y]	$\boxtimes$	Richard Burson, US Atty [Y]
$\boxtimes$	Mark Steiger, IT Specialist [R]	$\boxtimes$	Robin Emmons, Defense Atty [R]
$\boxtimes$	Erica Helms, US Probation / Pretrial Services Officer [Y]	$\boxtimes$	Interpreter – Natalia Rivera [R]
$\boxtimes$	Defendant present ⊠ in custody USM		Defendant not present / failed to appear
	□out of custody		
$\boxtimes$	USA Motion for Detention, ECF No. 36	$\boxtimes$	Rights given
	USA not seeking detention	$\boxtimes$	Acknowledgment of Rights filed
$\boxtimes$	Financial Affidavit (CJA 23) filed	$\boxtimes$	Defendant received copy of charging document
	The Court will appoint the Federal Defenders	$\boxtimes$	Defendant waived reading of charging document
$\boxtimes$	Based upon conflict with Federal Defenders, the Court will appoint a CJA Panel Attorney		Charging document read in open court
	PRE-Trial Services Report ordered	$\boxtimes$	POST Pre-Trial Services Report ordered
	AO Advice of Penalties/Sanctions filed		
DEMADIC			

## REMARKS

Defendant appeared, was assisted by counsel and the Federally certified Court Interpreter Natalia Rivera. Defendant was advised of their rights and the allegations contained in the charging document.

The Defendant acknowledged to the Court that their true and correct name is: ANGELA MADRIGAL CHAVEZ.

"Not guilty" plea entered.

Discovery to be provided pursuant to the local rule on discovery.

Court approved Defense attorney's request to appear by video.

## The Court ordered:

- 1. Defendant shall be detained by the U. S. Marshal until further order of the Court.
- 2. As required by Rule 5(f), the United States is ordered to produce all information required by *Brady v. Maryland* and its progeny. Not doing so in a timely manner may result in sanctions, including exclusion of evidence, adverse jury instructions, dismissal of charges, and contempt proceedings. Order forthcoming.

Detention Hearing: 12/14/2022 @ 8:30 a.m. [R/ACE] (Video Conf)

Time: 3:46 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.